

CITY OF SANTA CLARA
SINGLE AUDIT REPORT
FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

CITY OF SANTA CLARA
SINGLE AUDIT REPORT
For The Year Ended June 30, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | <u>Page</u> |
|---|-------------|
| Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs..... | 1 |
| Section I - Summary of Auditor’s Results..... | 1 |
| Section II – Financial Statement Findings..... | 2 |
| Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs..... | 2 |
| Section IV - Status of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs..... | 2 |
| Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards..... | 3 |
| Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards..... | 5 |
| Independent Auditor’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> | 7 |
| Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance | 9 |

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

CITY OF SANTA CLARA
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS
For The Year Ended June 30, 2016

SECTION I—SUMMARY OF AUDITOR’S RESULTS

Financial Statements

Type of auditor’s report issued on whether the financial statements were prepared in accordance with GAAP: Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weakness(es) identified? Yes X No
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Yes X None Reported

Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? Yes X No

Federal Awards

Type of auditor’s report issued on compliance for major programs: Unmodified

Internal control over major programs:

- Material weakness(es) identified? Yes X No
- Significant deficiency(ies) identified? Yes X None Reported

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)? Yes X No

Identification of major programs:

| <u>CFDA#(s)</u> | <u>Name of Federal Program or Cluster</u> |
|-----------------|---|
| 14.218 | Department of Housing and Urban Development - Community Development Block Grant/Entitlement Program |

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs: \$750,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? X Yes No

SECTION II – FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Our audit did not disclose any significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses or instances of noncompliance material to the basic financial statements. We have also issued a separate Memorandum on Internal Control dated November 21, 2016 which is an integral part of our audits and should be read in conjunction with this report.

SECTION III – FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Our audit did not disclose any findings or questioned costs required to be reported in accordance with Uniform Guidance.

SECTION IV - STATUS OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS –

Prepared by Management

Financial Statement Prior Year Findings

There were no prior year Financial Statement Findings reported.

Federal Award Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs

There were no prior year Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs reported.

CITY OF SANTA CLARA

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

| Federal Grantor/ Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title | Federal CFDA Number | Pass-Through Identifying Number | Pass-Through To Subrecipients | Federal Expenditures |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Direct Program | | | | |
| Community Development Block Grant - Entitlement Grant | 14.218 | | \$80,529 | \$2,030,059 |
| Home Investment Partnership Program | 14.239 | | | 29,889 |
| Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development | | | <u>80,529</u> | <u>2,059,948</u> |
| U.S. Department of Justice Direct Program | | | | |
| Equitable Sharing Program | 16.922 | | | 46,423 |
| Total U.S. Department of Justice | | | | <u>46,423</u> |
| U.S. Department of Transportation Pass-Through Programs From: | | | | |
| State of California Department of Transportation Highway Planning and Construction | 20.205 | STPL-5019(032) | | 528 |
| Subtotal Pass-Through Programs from California Department of Transportation | | | | <u>528</u> |
| State of California Office of Traffic Safety State and Community Highway Safety | 20.600 | PS1514 | | 5,804 |
| Subtotal Pass-Through Programs from California Department of Transportation | | | | <u>5,804</u> |
| Total U.S. Department of Transportation | | | | <u>6,332</u> |
| U.S. Institute of Museum and Library Services Pass-Through Programs From: | | | | |
| State of California State Library Grants to States | 45.310 | LSTA40-8436 | | 10,962 |
| Total U.S. Department of Museum and Library Services | | | | <u>10,962</u> |
| U.S. Department of Homeland Security Pass-Through Programs From: | | | | |
| California Task Force 3 Urban Search and Rescue National Urban Search and Rescue Response System | 97.025 | CA-TF3 | | 12,818 |
| Subtotal Pass-Through Program from California Task Force 3 Urban Search and Rescue | | | | <u>12,818</u> |
| County of Santa Clara Office of Emergency Services Homeland Security Grant Program | 97.067 | SHSGP | | 27,414 |
| Subtotal Pass-Through Program from County of Santa Clara Office of Emergency Services | | | | <u>27,414</u> |
| Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security | | | | <u>40,232</u> |
| | | | <u>\$80,529</u> | <u>\$2,163,897</u> |
| Total Expenditures of Federal Awards | | | | |

See Accompanying Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

CITY OF SANTA CLARA

**NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
For The Year Ended June 30, 2016**

NOTE 1-REPORTING ENTITY

The Schedule of Expenditure of Federal Awards (the Schedule) includes expenditures of federal awards for the City of Santa Clara, California and its component units as disclosed in the notes to the Basic Financial Statements.

NOTE 2-SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of accounting refers to *when* revenues and expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements, regardless of the measurement focus applied. All governmental funds and agency funds are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. All proprietary funds are accounted for using the accrual basis of accounting. Expenditures of Federal Awards reported on the Schedule are recognized when incurred.

NOTE 3 – INDIRECT COST ELECTION

The City has elected not to use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

NOTE 4 – LOAN AND/OR LOAN GUARANTEE PROGRAM

The following loan program balance and transaction relating to these programs are included in City's basic financial statements. Loans made during the year are included in the federal expenditures presented in the Schedule. The balance of loans outstanding at June 30, 2016 consists of the following:

| CFDA Number | Program Name | Outstanding Balance at June 30, 2016 |
|----------------|---|--|
| 14.218 | Community Development Block Grant/Entitlement Program | \$2,966,087 |
| 14.239 | Home Investment Partnership Program | \$1,789,622 |

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON
INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING
AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN
AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE
WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS***

To the Honorable Members of the City Council
City of Santa Clara, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the basic financial statements of the City of Santa Clara (City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 21, 2016. Our report included an emphasis of a matter paragraph disclosing the implementation of new accounting principles.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City’s financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

We have also issued a separate Memorandum on Internal Control dated November 21, 2016 which is an integral part of our audit and should be read in conjunction with this report.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City’s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City’s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Maze & Associates

Pleasant Hill, California
November 21, 2016

**INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR
FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE;
AND REPORT ON THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS
REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council
City of Santa Clara, California

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited City of Santa Clara' (City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2016. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of *Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 20, 2016.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the basic financial statements of the business-type activities of the City as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated November 21, 2016 which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Maer & Associates

Pleasant Hill, California
November 21, 2016

This Page Left Intentionally Blank