

CITY OF SANTA CLARA OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

2023 DEC 18 PM 1: 44

County of Santa Clara Office of the Registrar of Voters

Ballot Measure Argument Form

| | | Office Use Only |
|--|----------------------------|---|
| This Ballot Measure Argument Form must be submitted for every ballot primary argument and rebuttal argument, with required signatures and author information. The County of Santa Clara Office of the Registrar of Voters allows electronic submission of documents if the scanned copy is clear and readable. You may email your completed form and attach the argument in Microsoft Word version to candidateservices@rov.sccgov.org . Any errors will be printed on the County Voter Information Guide and/or ballot exactly as submitted. A proof will be sent to the primary filer for confirmation that it matches the argument as submitted—no other corrections will be accepted. | | Time/Date Stamp |
| Date of Election: March 5, 2024 | | |
| Primary Argument due date (E-84): 12-12-2023 Examination Period (E-83 to E-74): 12/13/2023-12/22/2023 | | |
| Rebuttal Argument due date (E-77): 12-19-2023 Examination Period (E-76 to E-67): 12/20/2023-12/29/2023 | | |
| SECTION 1; ARGUMENT INFORMATION | | |
| Measure Letter B (if available) – letters will be assigned after 5:00 p.m. on E-88: 12-8-2023 | | |
| Select Who You are, and Which Argument You Are Submitting Proponent: Opponent: | | |
| ☐ Primary Argument in Favor | ☐ Primary Argument Against | 44 Cartino (15 P. 15 P. 160) (15) (15) (15) (15) (15) (15) (15) (15 |
| Rebuttal Argument to Primary Argument Against | | |

SECTION 2: AUTHOR INFORMATION

Declaration Related to Proponent / Opponent Primary and Rebuttal Arguments

(Elections Code §§ 9161, 9164, 9167, 9170, 9501, 9501.5, 9504, 9600)

| ☑ I am an Author of the Proponent Argument (noted above) for Measure bein | ng submitted. I <u>support</u> this measure. | |
|--|--|--|
| ☐ I am an Author of the Opponent Argument (noted above) for Measure being submitted. I oppose this measure. | | |
| association of citizens, the "Argument Signer Form" in Section 3 below must be completed by a principal officer of the organization or bona fide association of citizens and the organization must submit one of the following: its articles of incorporation, articles of association, partnership documents, bylaws, or similar documents; letterhead containing the name of the organization and its principal officers; OR if the organization or association is a primarily formed committee established to support or oppose the measure, its statement of organization (FPPC Form 410) filed pursuant to Government Code 84101. | Office Use Only Verified Individual Submitter as a: Registered Voter in District Governing Board Member Principal Officer of Organization Organization Submitted Required Documentation: articles of incorp. or assoc., bylaws, or similar letterhead FPPC Form 410 One (1) to five (5) signers submitted. Staff Initials | |

SECTION 3: ARGUMENT TEXT

PLEASE ATTACH A COPY OF YOUR PRIMARY / REBUTTAL ARGUMENT TO THIS FORM.

The text of your primary and/or rebuttal argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Type your primary and/or rebuttal argument with the desired formatting. Ensure that your primary and/or rebuttal argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific text is printed in bold, italic, or bold italic font type.

The County of Santa Clara Office of the Registrar of Voters uses standard typefaces, font sizes, headers, and bullets in all measure related documents. In addition, measure related documents use the following formatting standards: 1) indent increments will be set at 0.25° ; 2) spacing will be standardized to language-appropriate number of spaces following periods, colons, commas, and semicolons; 3) tabs will be used for numbered and/or bulleted indented text; and 4) signers will be formatted as conformed signatures with titles below the name. All measure related documents submitted to the Office of the Registrar of Voters will be formatted to the prescribed standards.

PLEASE NOTE: If the primary and/or rebuttal argument is handwritten or a revision is unclear, the County of Santa Clara Office of the Registrar of Voters staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities. That interpretation is final.

Vote Yes on Measure B to have an appointed police chief.

Santa Clara is the **last** city in California to elect its police chief.

By appointing a Police Chief, Santa Clara would recruit from a wider pool of candidates who would meet the <u>highest professional qualifications</u>, not just residency and the willingness to mount a political campaign. They would be hired and regularly evaluated by the City Manager.

History shows that an appointment process leads to better police chiefs. This is our chance for reform. We deserve a <u>professional</u>, <u>transparent</u>, <u>accountable</u> Police Department – **Vote Yes on Measure B**

Santa Clara's highly regarded fire department is led by an appointed Fire Chief.

The City Charter is a <u>living document</u> that voters change as needed. In the past few year's residents have voted to change the charter for the betterment of the city; we did so four times in 2016. Nothing in the charter is enshrined.

In 2023, an independent Charter Review Committee consisting of educators, business executives, and members of the community conducted extensive research concluding that <u>an appointed police chief would provide better accountability and responsiveness</u> to the community.

Appointing a police chief helps to insulate the position from political pressures, allowing the chief to focus on making decisions based on professional judgment rather than electoral considerations. Conversely, the election of a police chief can introduce elements of politics into law enforcement decisions.

VOTE YES on Measure B

Visit: appointpolicechief.com

Section 3 continued...

| Select Signing | Argument Signer Form | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Order for Each Signatory Below (1, 2, 3, etc.) | The undersigned author(s) of the attached argument hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best of their knowledge and belief. | | |
| 1 Y. | Gender: ☑ Masculine / ☐ Feminine / ☐ Androgynous or Non-binary / ☐ Other: | | |
| 2. | I am a: ☐ Registered Voter in District / ☑ Governing Board Member / ☐ Principal Officer of the Organization Submitting the Argument | | |
| 3. | Name of Signer (to be printed on argument): Sudhanshu "Suds" Jain | | |
| | [IF APPLICABLE] Title (to be printed on argument): Santa Clara Councilmember | | |
| 4. 5. | [IF APPLICABLE] Name of Organization or Bona Fide Association of Citizens (to be printed on argument): | | |
| 3. | [For individuals] Residential Address (where you are registered to vote): | | |
| | [For governing board members and principal officers] Business Address: 1500 Warburton Ave, Santa Clara, CA 95050 | | |
| | Phone: 408-499-2955 Email: sjain@santaclaraca.gov | | |
| SIGNATURE | Under penalty of perjury, I attest the above information meets the required criteria for an Individual or for an Organization or Bona Fide Association of Citizens for purposes of submitting an argument: | | |
| 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. | Gender: ☐ masculine / ☑ feminine / ☐ androgynous or non-binary / ☐ other: ☐ lam a: ☑ Registered Voter in District / ☐ Governing Board Member / ☐ Principal Officer of the Organization Submitting the Argument Name of Signer (to be printed on argument): ☐ Christine Koltermann ☐ Former member Charter Review Committee ☐ IF APPLICABLE] Title (to be printed on argument): ☐ For individuals] Name of Organization or Bona Fide Association of Citizens (to be printed on argument): ☐ For individuals] Residential Address (where you are registered to vote): ☐ Santa Clara CA 95051 ☐ For governing board members and principal officers] Business Address: ☐ Phone: ☐ Email: ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ | | |
| SIGNATURE | Under penalty of perjury, I attest the above information meets the required criteria for an Individual or for an Organization or Bona Fide Association of Citizens for purposes of submitting an argument: | | |



County of Santa Clara Office of the Registrar of Voters

Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Consent Form

| | | Office Use Only |
|--|---|-----------------|
| The author of a primary argument may sign the rebuttal argument other person to author/sign the rebuttal argument. This signed Corauthorize a person to author/sign the rebuttal argument who was nargument. The County of Santa Clara allows for electronic submissimage is clear and readable. You may send your completed form a argument to candidateservices@rov.sccgov.org. Because anyone listed as an author should have the opportunity to | ot an author of the primary sion of documents as long as the and attach a copy of the | |
| the full text of the rebuttal argument should be attached to all Consent Forms. | | Time/Date Stamp |
| SECTION 1: ARGUMENT INFORMATION | MARKET NA | |
| Measure Letter (if available) | Date of Election 3/5/2024 | |
| Jurisdiction <u>City of Santa Clara</u> | | |
| Proponent: | Opponent: | |
| Rebuttal Argument to Primary Argument Against | ☐ Rebuttal Argument to Primary Argument in Favor | |
| SECTION 2: REQUIRED CONSENT | | |
| Under penalty of perjury, I attest and verify that I have read the complete text of the ballot measure rebuttal argument above and authorize that my name or the name of my Organization or Bona Fide Association of Citizens, which I am an authorized representative of, may be printed, exactly as it appears in the text of the rebuttal argument in the County Voter Information Guide. | | |
| As an author of the Primary Argument in 🗹 Support / 🗆 Opposition to Measure (assigned letter), I authorize | | |
| Christine Koltermann (new Rebuttal Argument Signer) to sign the rebuttal argument. | | |
| Full Name (Print) RAJ CHAHAL | | |
| Name of Organization or Bona Fide Association of Citizens Council member, City of Se | enta Clara | (if applicable) |
| SIGNATURE Ray Chahas | > Date: _ | 12/19/2023 |

SECTION 3: ARGUMENT TEXT

PLEASE ATTACH A COPY OF YOUR REBUTTAL ARGUMENT TO THIS FORM.

The text of your rebuttal argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Type your rebuttal argument with the desired formatting. Ensure that your rebuttal argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific rebuttal argument text is printed in bold, italic, or bold italic font type.

The County of Santa Clara Office of the Registrar of Voters uses standard typefaces, font sizes, headers and bullets in all measure related documents. In addition, measure related documents use the following formatting standards: 1) indent increments will be set at 0.25"; 2) spacing will be standardized to language-appropriate number of spaces following periods, colons, commas, and semicolons; 3) tabs will be used for numbered and/or bulleted indented text; and 4) signers will be formatted as conformed signatures with titles below the name. All measure related documents submitted to the Office of the Registrar of Voters will be formatted to the prescribed standards.

PLEASE NOTE: If rebuttal argument is handwritten or a revision is unclear, the County of Santa Clara Registrar of Voters staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities. That interpretation is final.

Vote Yes on Measure B to have an appointed police chief.

Santa Clara is the last city in California to elect its police chief.

By appointing a Police Chief, Santa Clara would recruit from a wider pool of candidates who would meet the <u>highest professional qualifications</u>, not just residency and the willingness to mount a political campaign. They would be hired and regularly evaluated by the City Manager.

History shows that an appointment process leads to better police chiefs. This is our chance for reform. We deserve a professional, transparent, accountable Police Department – **Vote Yes on Measure B**

Santa Clara's highly regarded fire department is led by an appointed Fire Chief.

The City Charter is a <u>living document</u> that voters change as needed. In the past few year's residents have voted to change the charter for the betterment of the city; we did so four times in 2016. Nothing in the charter is enshrined.

In 2023, an independent Charter Review Committee consisting of educators, business executives, and members of the community conducted extensive research concluding that <u>an appointed police chief would provide better accountability and responsiveness</u> to the community.

Appointing a police chief helps to insulate the position from political pressures, allowing the chief to focus on making decisions based on professional judgment rather than electoral considerations. Conversely, the election of a police chief can introduce elements of politics into law enforcement decisions.

VOTE YES on Measure B

Visit: appointpolicechief.com

Section 3 continued...

| Select Signing | Argument Signer Form | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Order for Each Signatory Below (1, 2, 3, etc.) | The undersigned author(s) of the attached argument hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best of their knowledge and belief. | | | |
| [A] | Gender: ☐ Masculine / ☑ Feminine / ☐ Androgynous or Non-binary / ☐ Other: | | | |
| 1. 2. | I am a: ☐ Registered Voter in District / ☑ Governing Board Member / ☐ Principal Officer of the Organization Submitting the Argument | | | |
| 3. | Name of Signer (to be printed on argument): Karen Hardy | | | |
| | [IF APPLICABLE] Title (to be printed on argument): Councilmember | | | |
| 4. | [IF APPLICABLE] Name of Organization or Bona Fide Association of Citizens (to be printed on argument): City of Santa Clara | | | |
| 5. | [For individuals] Residential Address (where you are registered to vote): | | | |
| | [For governing board members and principal officers] Business Address: 1500 Warburton Ave., Santa Clara, CA 95050 | | | |
| | Phone: Email: khardy@santaclaraca.gov | | | |
| SIGNATURE | Under penalty of perjury, I attest the above information meets the required criteria for an Individual or for an Organization or Bona Fide Association of Citizens for purposes of submitting an argument: | | | |
| 1. 2. 3. 4. | Gender: □ masculine / ☑ feminine / □ androgynous or non-binary / □ other: □ ma a: ☑ Registered Voter in District / □ Governing Board Member / □ Principal Officer of the Organization Submitting the Argument Name of Signer (to be printed on argument): Clysta Seney [IF APPLICABLE] Title (to be printed on argument): Former member, Charter Review Committee [IF APPLICABLE] Name of Organization or Bona Fide Association of Citizens (to be printed on argument): [For individuals] Residential Address (where you are registered to vote): , Santa Clara, CA 95050 [For governing board members and principal officers] Business Address: Phone | | | |
| SIGNATURE | Under penalty of perjury, I attest the above information meets the required criteria for an Individual or for an Organization or Bona Fide Association of Citizens for purposes of submitting an argument: Olystol Seney McLemore | | | |

Section 3 continued...

| Select Signing Order for Each | Argument Signer Form |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Signatory Below (1, 2, 3, etc.) | The undersigned author(s) of the attached argument hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best of their knowledge and belief. |
| 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. | Gender: |
| | Phone: Email: |
| SIGNATURE | Under penalty of perjury, I attest the above information meets the required criteria for an Individual or for an Organization or Bona Fide Association of Citizens for purposes of submitting an argument: |

| SECTION 3 C | | |
|---|---|--|
| Office Use Only | | |
| Primary Argument Checklist (check all that apply): Author(s) meets criteria to sign? Author's title as signed meets criteria? Residential address meets criteria for eligible individual voter to sign? Argument Signer Form signed by author(s)? Signing order indicated? Only author's name is listed on name line and matches signed name? Author's TYPED or LEGIBLY WRITTEN title is on title line, if applicable? Author's gender is selected? Original or scanned signatures are clear and readable for verification? Primary Argument does not exceed 300 words? Author's contact information is filled out? Author's document(s) filed by deadline? Staff Initials | Rebuttal Argument Checklist (check all that apply): Authors filed written authorization, if different authors submitted? Argument Signer Form signed by author? Signing order indicated? Only author's name is listed on name line and matches signed name? Author's TYPED or LEGIBLY WRITTEN title is on title line if applicable? Author's gender is selected? Original or scanned signatures are clear and readable for verification? Rebuttal Argument does not exceed 250 words? Author's contact information is filled out? Author's document(s) filed by deadline? Staff Initials | |



County of Santa Clara Office of the Registrar of Voters

Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Consent Form

| The Real Property and the second | | Office Use Only |
|--|--|-----------------|
| The author of a primary argument may sign the rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person to author/sign the rebuttal argument. This signed Consent Form is required to authorize a person to author/sign the rebuttal argument who was not an author of the primary argument. The County of Santa Clara allows for electronic submission of documents as long as the image is clear and readable. You may send your completed form and attach a copy of the argument to candidateservices@rov.sccgov.org . | | |
| Because anyone listed as an author should have the opportunity to review the rebuttal argument, the full text of the rebuttal argument should be attached to all Consent Forms. | | Time/Date Stamp |
| SECTION 1: ARGUMENT INFORMATION | | |
| Measure Letter B (if available) | Date of Election March 5, 2024 | |
| Jurisdiction City of Santa Clara | · · | |
| Proponent: | Opponent: | |
| | ☐ Rebuttal Argument to Primary Argument in Favor | |
| SECTION 2: REQUIRED CONSENT | | |
| Under penalty of perjury, I attest and verify that I have read the complete text of the ballot measure rebuttal argument above and authorize that my name or the name of my Organization or Bona Fide Association of Citizens, which I am an authorized representative of, may be printed, exactly as it appears in the text of the rebuttal argument in the County Voter Information Guide. | | |
| As an author of the Primary Argument in \(\subseteq \) Support / \(\subseteq \) Opposition to Measure \(\frac{B}{D.Strict} \) (assigned letter), I authorize \(\frac{Michele Ryan, Trustee Santa Clara Un. Field \(\frac{School D.Strict}{D.Strict} \) (new Rebuttal Argument Signer) to sign the rebuttal argument. | | |
| Full Name (Print) _Jeffrey Grant Houston | | |
| Name of Organization or Bona Fide Association of Citizens | | |
| | | (if applicable) |
| SIGNATURE Was Son | Date: | 12-18-2023 |

SECTION 3: ARGUMENT TEXT

PLEASE ATTACH A COPY OF YOUR REBUTTAL ARGUMENT TO THIS FORM.

The text of your rebuttal argument will be printed exactly as submitted. Type your rebuttal argument with the desired formatting. Ensure that your rebuttal argument meets the legal word limit. You may request that specific rebuttal argument text is printed in bold, italic, or bold italic font type.

The County of Santa Clara Office of the Registrar of Voters uses standard typefaces, font sizes, headers and bullets in all measure related documents. In addition, measure related documents use the following formatting standards: 1) indent increments will be set at 0.25"; 2) spacing will be standardized to language-appropriate number of spaces following periods, colons, commas, and semicolons; 3) tabs will be used for numbered and/or bulleted indented text; and 4) signers will be formatted as conformed signatures with titles below the name. All measure related documents submitted to the Office of the Registrar of Voters will be formatted to the prescribed standards.

PLEASE NOTE: If rebuttal argument is handwritten or a revision is unclear, the County of Santa Clara Registrar of Voters staff will interpret the handwritten information to the best of their abilities. That interpretation is final.

Vote Yes on Measure B to have an appointed police chief.

Santa Clara is the last city in California to elect its police chief.

By appointing a Police Chief, Santa Clara would recruit from a wider pool of candidates who would meet the <u>highest professional qualifications</u>, not just residency and the willingness to mount a political campaign. They would be hired and regularly evaluated by the City Manager.

<u>History shows that an appointment process leads to better police chiefs</u>. This is our chance for reform. We deserve a <u>professional, transparent, accountable</u> Police Department – **Vote Yes on Measure B**

Santa Clara's highly regarded fire department is led by an appointed Fire Chief.

The City Charter is a <u>living document</u> that voters change as needed. In the past few year's residents have voted to change the charter for the betterment of the city; we did so four times in 2016. Nothing in the charter is enshrined.

In 2023, an independent Charter Review Committee consisting of educators, business executives, and members of the community conducted extensive research concluding that <u>an appointed police chief would provide better accountability and responsiveness</u> to the community.

Appointing a police chief helps to insulate the position from political pressures, allowing the chief to focus on making decisions based on professional judgment rather than electoral considerations. Conversely, the election of a police chief can introduce elements of politics into law enforcement decisions.

VOTE YES on Measure B

Visit: appointpolicechief.com